1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8	
9	Steven L. Sapp, No. CV 05-050-TUC-FRZ
10	Petitioner, ORDER
11	vs.
12	Charles Ryan, et al.,
13	Respondents.
14	
15	
16	Before the Court for consideration is the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant
17	to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 filed by Petitioner Steven L. Sapp in this action and the Report and
18	Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, recommending the dismissal of the Petition.
19	This matter was referred to Magistrate Judge D. Thomas Ferraro pursuant to Rules
20	72.1 and 72.2 of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure for further proceedings and report and
21	recommendation.
22	Magistrate Judge Ferraro issued his Report and Recommendation, recommending that
23	the Court enter an order denying the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and dismissing this
24	action in its entirely as untimely under the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of
25	1996, 28 U.S.C. § 22543(d)("AEDPA"), based on Petitioner's failure to establish entitlement
26	of statutory or equitable tolling.
27	The Report and Recommendation sets forth the factual and procedural history of
28	Petitioner's state court proceedings and the conviction at issue.

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Petitioner seeks review on 12 separate grounds of his conviction in Cochise County Superior Court of Arizona, case number CR–98000325, in which Petitioner was convicted by jury on March 24, 1999 of (1) possession for sale of a dangerous drug (methamphetamine), a class 2 felony; (2) possession of drug paraphernalia, a class 6 felony; and (3) driving on a suspended license, a class 1 misdemeanor, all which occurred on July 6, 1998.

Based on a prior conviction, Petitioner was sentenced on June 14, 1999 to a nine-year-three-month prison term on the drug possession charge, a concurrent 1 one year and nine months on the paraphernalia possession charge. He also received a consecutive one year probation term on the misdemeanor charge.

As set forth in detail in the Report and Recommendation, Petitioner has not demonstrated that an extraordinary circumstance prevented him from timely filing, and therefore, Petitioner failed to reach the high threshold to establish entitlement to statutory or equitable tolling.

Petitioner filed his objections to the Report and Recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b), arguing both that the present petition and accompanying habeas petitions¹ were timely and also "that an extraordinary circumstance prevented him from timely filing as he diligently pursued his rights," challenging the state court rulings on both procedural and substantive grounds, alleging innocence and miscarriage of justice.

The Court finds, after consideration of the matters presented and an independent review of the record herein, that the Petition should be denied and this action be dismissed as recommended.

Based on the foregoing,

¹Petitioner filed CV 05-050-TUC-FRZ; CV 05-051-TUC-FRZ and CV 05-052-TUC-FRZ on January 27, 2005, challenging the unrelated, unconsolidated convictions in Cochise County Superior Court in case numbers CR–98000325, CR–98000452 and CR–97000484(B), respectively.

1	IT IS ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Ferraro's Report and Recommendation [Doc.
2	25] is hereby ACCEPTED AND ADOPTED as the findings of fact and conclusions of law
3	by this Court;
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is DENIED;
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that judgment be entered accordingly.
6	
7	DATED this 14 th day of September, 2011.
8	1.000
9	Frank R. Zapata
10	Senior United States District Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28